

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY SYDNEY  
Faculty of Science

**DEVELOPMENT OF A REPORTER FOR  
PREDICTION OF MEMBRANE FOULING  
POTENTIAL AND APPLICATION OF NITRIC  
OXIDE FOR BIOFOULING CONTROL**

By

**THI TU UYEN BUI**

A Thesis Submitted in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree

Master of Science

Sydney, Australia

April 2019

### **CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ ORIGINALITY**

I certify that the work in this thesis has not been previously submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as a part of the requirements for other degree except as a fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research and in the preparation of the thesis itself has been fully acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are quoted in the thesis.

This research is supported by an Australian Government Research Training Program.

8 April 2019

Signature of candidate

Production Note:

Signature removed prior to publication.

**Thi Tu Uyen Bui**

## ABSTRACT

### DEVELOPMENT OF A REPORTER FOR PREDICTION OF MEMBRANE FOULING POTENTIAL AND APPLICATION OF NITRIC OXIDE FOR BIOFOULING CONTROL

By

Thi Tu Uyen Bui

Membrane fouling that results in increases in operational and maintenance costs is a major obstacle to the widespread application of membrane technology [2]. The concentration of assimilable organic carbon (AOC) is directly correlated with the growth of heterotrophic bacteria in water systems. AOC has been widely used as a biofouling indicator for the prediction of biofouling in reverse osmosis (RO) systems [3-5]. In addition to new methodologies to predict fouling, new membrane cleaning technologies are also being developed. One new cleaning method is the application of nitric oxide (NO), which is a biologically active signaling molecule that has been shown to induce biofilm dispersal at nanomolar concentrations [6-9].

In this study, potential AOC reporter strains were isolated for use in desalination RO systems. The results show that strains BLS2, CBSW3 and CBSW4 grew on seawater medium, with maximum cell densities of  $5.1 \times 10^5$ ,  $4.5 \times 10^5$  and  $7.2 \times 10^5$  colony forming unit (CFU) ml<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. In addition, all three strains were able to metabolise humic substances which are a major component of AOC in seawater, reaching maximum cell numbers of  $5.0 \times 10^4$  -  $2.1 \times 10^5$  CFU ml<sup>-1</sup>. With *V. cholerae* A1552 (pUC19-*luxAB*), there was a linear relationship between bioluminescent intensity and glucose concentrations ranging from 0 to 100 µg C L<sup>-1</sup>, with a coefficient (R<sup>2</sup>) of 0.9761. The limit detection was 20 µg C L<sup>-1</sup>. Our findings provide a more rapid AOC assay, which can quickly determine AOC concentrations within 10 min compared to 30 min performed by *V. fischeri* MJ1 [10, 11].

Pyrosequencing analysis revealed that there was a total of 1,372,739 16S rDNA gene V4 region reads obtained from 9 activated sludge and 10 biofilm samples. RDP Classifier identified 36 phyla, 101 classes and 527 genera of bacteria. The significant changes in relative abundance of the most dominant OTUs associated with the sudden TMP increases demonstrated that species of unclassified *Bacteroidetes*, *Saprospiraceae*, *Comamonadaceae*

and unclassified TM7-3 may play important roles in membrane fouling, and that *Saprospiraceae* and unclassified TM7-3 may be primary colonisers of the membrane. Unclassified *Bacteroidetes* and *Comamonadaceae* (*Comamonas* in particular) may be secondary colonisers of the biofilms on the MBR membranes. Treatment of the membranes with 40  $\mu$ M DETA NONOate led to a reduction of the TMP by 35% compared to 21% obtained by control distilled water backwash (P value < 0.05), indicating that DETA NONOate was effective in delaying TMP increase.

Thesis directed by

Principle supervisor:

Associate Professor Diane McDougald

The ithree Institute, Faculty of Science

Co-supervisor:

Professor Saravanamuth Vigneswaran

School of Civil and Environmental Engineering,

Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I am especially thankful to my principle supervisor, Associate Professor Diane McDougald, for her direction, knowledge, patience, motivation and support throughout my study from the beginning to its completion. Her precious comments and suggestions helped me to develop my knowledge and skills of doing this research. I would like to thank Associate Professor Scott Rice and my co-supervisor, Professor Saravanamuth Vigneswaran for their valuable suggestions and support.

I am heartily thankful to my academic assistant, Dr. Shuyang Sun, for his ideas, guidance, assistance and continuous encouragement during my study. I was lucky to have him as my academic assistant. I would like to express my appreciation to Dr. Mohammed Johir for his direction and support working in the Environmental Engineering Laboratory.

In my daily work, I was really fortunate to be a member of a friendly and cheerful group. My appreciation goes to my dear colleagues, Gustavo, Parisa, Mozammel, William, Nireen and Yunju, for their generous help in various aspects of this research. I would like to thank Youngkwon for his assistance for providing fibre membranes for the membrane bioreactor. I also wish to thank the ithree Institute for providing a fantastic atmosphere for doing research.

I would like to thank my family for their love and support. I could not have completed my thesis without their support and encouragement.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE .....	ii
ABSTRACT .....	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	vi
LIST OF TABLES .....	x
LIST OF FIGURES .....	xi
ABBREVIATION.....	xiv
CHAPTER 1 .....	1
INTRODUCTION	
1.1. Background .....	1
1.2. Research Objectives .....	4
1.3. Thesis Organization .....	4
CHAPTER 2 .....	6
LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1. Water Treatment Technologies .....	6
2.1.1. Pre-treatment Processes .....	6
2.1.1.1. Pre-treatment Processes for Fresh Water .....	7
2.1.1.1.1. Screening.....	7
2.1.1.1.2. Adjustment of pH.....	7
2.1.1.2. Pre-treatment Processes for Seawater .....	7
2.1.1.2.1. Screening.....	7
2.1.1.2.2. Disinfection .....	8
2.1.1.2.3. Coagulation and Flocculation .....	8
2.1.1.2.4. Sedimentation.....	8
2.1.1.2.5. Antiscalants .....	8
2.1.1.2.6. MF or UF .....	8
2.1.1.3. Pre-treatment Processes for Municipal Wastewater .....	9

2.1.1.4. Pre-treatment Processes for Industrial Wastewater.....	9
2.1.2. Filtration.....	9
2.1.2.1. Membrane Filtration .....	9
2.1.2.1.1. Desalination .....	12
2.1.2.1.2. MBRs .....	13
2.1.2.2. Biofilters.....	17
2.2. Membrane Fouling.....	19
2.2.1. Fouling indicators .....	21
2.2.1.1. AOC Concentration.....	21
2.2.1.2. TMP .....	25
2.2.1.3. Filtration Resistance.....	26
2.2.1.4. Other Fouling Indicators .....	26
2.2.2. Membrane Fouling Control.....	27
2.2.2.1. The Application of NO Compounds for Induction of Biofilm Dispersal .....	27
2.2.2.1.1. The Characteristics of Microorganisms in Biofilms .....	28
2.2.2.1.2. The Removal of Biofouling by NO Compounds .....	29
2.2.2.2. The Increase of the Quality of Feed Water through Pre-treatment Processes .	31
2.2.2.3. The Modification of the Properties of Membrane.....	32
2.2.2.4. Determination of Sustainable Operating Parameters .....	33
2.2.2.5. Other Control Methods .....	34
2.2.2.5.1. Physical Cleaning.....	34
2.2.2.5.2. Chemical Cleaning .....	35
2.3. Summary .....	35
CHAPTER 3 .....	36
DEVELOPMENT OF A SENSITIVE BIOLUMINESCENT REPORTER TECHNOLOGY FOR AOC QUANTIFICATION	
3.1. Introduction.....	36
3.2. Materials and Methods.....	37
3.2.1. Isolation of Microorganisms from Seawater.....	37
3.2.2. Selection of Potential AOC Test Strains .....	38
3.2.3. Identification of the Potential AOC Test Strains by 16S rDNA Sequencing .....	39

3.2.4. Characterisation of Seawater.....	39
3.2.5. Growth of Potential Test Strains on AOC Compounds .....	40
3.2.6. Development of Reporter Strains for AOC Quantification.....	41
3.2.6.1. Minimal Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) Tests.....	41
3.2.6.2. Construction of Bioluminescent Reporter Plasmids .....	41
3.2.6.3. Transformation of the Recombinant Plasmid into the Potential Strains .....	44
3.2.7. Bioluminescent Experiments .....	45
3.3. Results .....	47
3.3.1. Isolation of Marine Bacteria with Broad Metabolic Potential.....	47
3.3.1.1. Isolation of Marine Organisms from Seawater.....	47
3.3.1.2. Selection of Potential AOC Test Strains .....	47
3.3.1.3. Identification of Strains by 16S rDNA Sequencing .....	51
3.3.1.4. Characterisation of Seawater.....	53
3.3.1.5. Growth of Potential Test Strains on AOC Compounds .....	54
3.3.2. Development of Luminescent AOC Reporter Strains .....	55
3.3.2.1. MIC Test.....	55
3.3.2.2. Construction of Bioluminescent Reporter Plasmids .....	56
3.3.3. Bioluminescent Measurements.....	59
3.4. Discussion .....	65
CHAPTER 4 .....	68
CHARACTERISATION OF MICROBIAL COMMUNITY IN A LABORATORY SCALE	
MBR AND EFFICACY OF NO FOR REDUCTION OF TMP	
4.1. Introduction.....	68
4.2. Materials and Methods.....	69
4.2.1. MBR Experiment.....	69
4.2.2. Components of Synthetic Feed Water .....	71
4.2.3. Acclimatisation Stage .....	71
4.2.4. Biofouling Treatment.....	72
4.2.5. MLSS and MLVSS Measurements .....	72
4.2.6. Measurement of Nutrient Parameters .....	72
4.2.7. pH Measurement.....	72



4.2.8. DNA Extraction .....	73
4.2.9. Analysis of Microbial Community .....	73
4.3. Results.....	74
4.3.1. Acclimatisation Stage.....	74
4.3.2. MBR Performance.....	76
4.3.3. Efficacy of NO Backwashing Treatment for Reduction of TMP .....	79
4.3.4. Changes in Microbial Communities in the MBRs .....	80
4.3.5. Determination of Key Bacteria Involved in Membrane Biofouling.....	83
4.4. Discussion.....	89
CHAPTER 5 .....	94
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
5.1. Conclusions .....	94
5.1.1. Development of a sensitive bioluminescent reporter technology for AOC quantification .....	94
5.1.2. Characterisation of the Microbial Community in an MBR and Efficacy of NO for Reduction of TMP.....	96
5.2. Recommendations .....	97
REFERENCES.....	99

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1. Guidelines for acceptable RO / NF feed water .....	32
Table 3.1. Sample details .....	38
Table 3.2. Primers used in this study .....	42
Table 3.3. Plasmids and bacterial strains used in the generation of bioluminescent reporter plasmids. ....	43
Table 3.4. The growth of 15 isolates (CFU ml <sup>-1</sup> ) in seawater over 7 days .....	50
Table 3.5. Bacterial isolates with the highest identity to BLS2, CBSW3 and CBSW4 on the basis of 16S rDNA sequence analysis.....	51
Table 3.6. LC-OCD seawater organic fractions (µg C L <sup>-1</sup> ) .....	54
Table 3.7. The growth of potential strains (CFU ml <sup>-1</sup> ) on humic acids over 48 h .....	55
Table 3.8. MIC values of antibiotics for BLS2, CBSW3 and CBSW4 .....	56
Table 4.1. MBR operating conditions .....	70
Table 4.2. Components of synthetic feed water .....	71
Table 4.3. Chemical analysis of the activated sludge and synthetic wastewater .....	75
Table 4.4. Changes in the relative abundance of the most abundance OTUs at different classification levels in sludge samples during the MBR experiment.....	82

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1. Types of membrane filtration based on membrane pore sizes .....	10
Figure 2.2. Sydney RO desalination plant ( <i>Sydney Water</i> , 2007).....	12
Figure 2.3. Submerge membrane bioreactor ( <i>Trident Innovations</i> , 2012).....	15
Figure 2.4. External membrane bioreactor ( <i>SUEZ' degremont® water handbook</i> ) .....	15
Figure 2.5. Schematic of the column filter system setup .....	18
Figure 2.6. The mechanism of membrane fouling in MBRs.....	20
Figure 2.7. Schematic overview of the different organic carbon fractions .....	22
Figure 2.8: Correlation between AOC concentration and seawater reverse osmosis (SWRO) biofouling.....	22
Figure 2.9. Luciferin-luciferase reaction.....	24
Figure 2.10. NO regulation of c-di-GMP synthesis in <i>Shewanella woodyi</i> .....	30
Figure 3.1. LC-OCD fractions identified by size-exclusion chromatogram using OCD....	40
Figure 3.2. Steps in the construction of pUC19- <i>luxAB</i> .....	44
Figure 3.3. Schematic of bioluminescent experiment.....	46
Figure 3.4. Images of marine isolates (CB1a, CBSW4, BLS2, CBS2, CBSW6, CBS5) ...	48
Figure 3.5. Phylogenetic relationship of BLS2 with closely related strains .....	52
Figure 3.6. Phylogenetic relationship of CBSW3 with closely related strains .....	52
Figure 3.7. Phylogenetic relationship of CBSW4 with closely related strains .....	53
Figure 3.8. PCR amplification of (A) <i>luxAB</i> using primers forward <i>luxAB</i> and reverse <i>luxAB</i> .....	57
Figure 3.9. Restriction digestion of pUC19- <i>luxAB</i> with <i>EcoRI</i> .....	58

Figure 3.10. PCR amplification of <i>lacIQ-luxAB</i> using FT4-lacIQ and RT4-luxAB primers .....	59
Figure 3.11. The bioluminescent levels of <i>V. cholerae</i> A1552 (pUC19- <i>luxAB</i> ), <i>E. coli</i> C2987 (pUC19- <i>luxAB</i> ) and the control strain <i>V. fischeri</i> MJ1 in LB .....	60
Figure 3.12. Bioluminescent intensity of <i>V. cholerae</i> A1552 (pUC19- <i>luxAB</i> ) in response to different LB dilutions over 20 min.....	61
Figure 3.13. Bioluminescent intensity of <i>V. fischeri</i> MJ1 in response to different LB dilutions over 20 min .....	62
Figure 3.14. Bioluminescent intensity of (A) <i>V. cholerae</i> A1552 (pUC19- <i>luxAB</i> ) and (B) control strain <i>V. fischeri</i> MJ1 in response to different glucose concentrations .....	63
Figure 3.15. The linear relationship between the concentrations of glucose and the maximum bioluminescence in (A) <i>V. cholerae</i> A1552 (pUC19- <i>luxAB</i> ) and (B) the control strain <i>V. fischeri</i> MJ1 .....	64
Figure 4.1. The submerged laboratory scale MBR .....	70
Figure 4.2. The variation of MLSS and MLVSS values in MBR with respect to time during acclimatization .....	75
Figure 4.3. The variation in MLSS and MLVSS values in MBR with respect to time .....	76
Figure 4.4. TOC and COD concentrations in the effluent over time .....	77
Figure 4.5. PO <sub>4</sub> -P concentrations in the effluent over time .....	78
Figure 4.6. Concentrations of NH <sub>4</sub> -N, NO <sub>2</sub> -N and NO <sub>3</sub> -N in the MBR effluent over time.....	79
Figure 4.7. Efficacy of NO backwashing treatment on the TMP of control and NO-treated membranes .....	80
Figure 4.8. Changes in bacteria community structure of activated sludge at phylum level during the MBR experiment.....	81
Figure 4.9. Changes in the relative abundance of the most abundant families (solid lines) in the activated sludge samples and the average TMPs (dash lines) during MBR experiment.....	84

Figure 4.10. The relative abundance of <i>Saprospiraceae</i> in sludge, dispersed and membrane biofouling samples .....	85
Figure 4.11. The relative abundance of TM7-3 in sludge, dispersed and remaining membrane biofouling samples .....	85
Figure 4.12. The relative abundance of the genera (A) <i>Comamonas</i> and (B) <i>Rubrivivax</i> in the sludge, dispersed and membrane biofouling samples .....	87
Figure 4.13. The relative abundance of the genus of unclassified <i>Bacteroidetes</i> in the sludge, dispersed and membrane biofouling samples .....	88
Figure 4.14. Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) for bacterial community structure of the raw sludge, MBR sludge, dispersed and membrane biofouling samples.....	89

## ABBREVIATIONS

AFLP: Amplified fragment length polymorphism

AGRF: Australian Genome Research Facility

AOC: Assimilable organic carbon

ASW: Artificial seawater

Atm: Atmosphere

ATP: Adenosine triphosphate

BDOC: Biodegradable dissolved organic carbon

BFM: Berlin filtration method

BioEdit: Biological Sequence Alignment Editor

BLAST: Basic Local Alignment Search Tool

BOD: Biochemical oxygen demand

BOM: Biodegradable organic matter

cAMP: Cyclic adenosine monophosphate

C-di-GMP: Cyclic diguanylate monophosphate

CDOC: Chromatographable dissolved organic carbon

CFU: Colony forming unit

ChromCALC: Chromatography Calculator

COD: Chemical oxygen demand

Da: Daltons

DAP: Diaminopimelic acid auxotroph

DETA: Diethylenetriamine

DGGE: Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis

DOC: Dissolved organic carbon

EBS: Environmental Business Specialists

EPS: Extracellular polymeric substances

F/M: Food to microorganisms

FISH: Fluorescent in situ hybridization

FMNH<sub>2</sub>: Flavin mononucleotide

HRT: Hydraulic retention time

KPa: Kilopascal

LB: Luria-Bertani

LC-OCD: Liquid chromatography - organic carbon detection

LMW: Low molecular weight

MAHMA NONOate: 6-(2-hydroxy-1-methyl-2-nitrosohydrazino)-N-methyl-1-hexanamine

MBR: Membrane bioreactor

MEGA: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis

MF: Microfiltration

MFI or MFI<sub>0.45</sub>: Modified fouling index

MIC: Minimal inhibitory concentration

MLSS: Mixed liquor suspended solids

MLVSS: Mixed liquor volatile suspended solids

NCBI: National Center for Biotechnology Information

NF: Nanofiltration

NO: Nitric oxide

NOM: Natural organic material

NTU: Nephelometric turbidity unit

OCD: Organic carbon detection

OLR: Organic loading rate

OND: Organic nitrogen detector

OTU: Operational taxonomic unit

PAOs: Polyphosphate accumulating organisms

PAS: Per-Arnt-Sim

PBS: Phosphate buffered saline

PCoA: Principal coordinate analysis

PCR: Polymerase chain reaction

POC: Particulate organic carbon

PROLI NONOate: 1-(hydroxyl-NNO-azoxy)-L-proline, disodium salt

PVDF: Polyninylidene fluoride

QIIME: Quantitative Insights into Microbial Ecology

QS: Quorum sensing

RDP: Ribosomal database project

RLU: Relative light unit

RO: Reverse osmosis

ROC: Reverse osmosis concentrate

Rpm: revolutions per minute



SCElse: Singapore Centre for Environment Life Science Engineering

SDI: Silt density index

SIMS: Sydney Institute of Marine Science

SMPs: Soluble microbial products

SNP: Sodium nitroprusside

SRT: Solids retention time

SWRO: seawater reverse osmosis

TMP: Transmembrane pressure

TOC: Total organic carbon

T-RFLP: Terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism

TSS: Total suspended solid

UF: Ultrafiltration

UV: Ultraviolet

UVD: Ultraviolet detector

VFM: VITO fouling measurement

VOCs: Volatile organic chemicals